C.F/207 (1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 2828/2005

PETITIONER

Smt. Madhuri Yadav, W/o. Shri S.N. Yadav, Aged about 42 years, Occupation- Service (Accountant) R/o. Rajendra Nagar Ward, Jagdalpur, Distt. Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary & Ministry, Department of Home, S.C. & S.T. Development Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Collector, Bastar, District Jagdalpur (C.G.)

3. The Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Jagdalpur, Distt.Jagdalpur (C.G.)

4. Block Education Officer, T.D. Block Bakawand, District Bastar, Jagdalpur (C.G.)

5. The Principal, Boys Higher Secondary School, Konda Gaon, Bastar (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
30.6.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. PARTICUALRS OF THE PETITOINER

As mentioned above in the cause title.

(G2)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.2828 OF 2005

Petitioner : Smt. Madhuri Yadav

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Ashok Kumar Shukla, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 28th Day of February, 2007)

The petitioner while working as Accountant in Block Education Office, Lohandiguda was ordered to be transferred to Government Boys Higher Secondary School, Kondagaon, vide order dated 13-08-2004 (P-1), but thereafter, modifying the said transfer order, the petitioner has been transferred from Block Education Office, Lohandiguda to Block Education Office, Bakawand, vide order dated 14-10-2004 (P-2). The petitioner impugns both the transfer orders dated 13-08-2004 (P-1) and 14-10-2004 (P-2).

2. This Court, vide interim order dated 24-08-2005, granted stay of the transfer orders dated 13-08-2004 (P-1) and 14-10-2004 (P-2), till the next date of hearing, and the petitioner was permitted to join at the original place of posting till any other order is passed to post the petitioner at appropriate place.

3. With the passage of time, the writ petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection.

4. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal